Board of Directors February 2018
Meeting

Date: Tuesday, February 6th 2018
Location: EngSoc Conference Room
Chair: Bryn Cummings
Secretary: Julia Reinstein

Attendance:
In Person: Felix Jancso-Szabo, Abdullah Barakat
Video: Megan Town, Kristopher Sousa, Grant Mitchell, Sarah Martin, Alan Huang, Emma Kennedy, Awn Duqoum (4 minutes late)
Absent: Katie Arnold
(Ex-officio: Michael Beauchemin, Liam Yeates)

1.0 Call to Order:
Time: 7:00 pm

2.0 Approval of Agenda:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion:</th>
<th>Approval of Agenda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mover:</td>
<td>Abdullah Barakat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seconder:</td>
<td>Kristopher Sousa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result:</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.0 Approval of January Meeting Minutes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion:</th>
<th>Approval of January Meeting Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mover:</td>
<td>Abdullah Barakat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seconder:</td>
<td>Felix Jancso-Szabo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion:</td>
<td>C: It says March under the Approval of Agenda section, that will be fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result:</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.0 Review of Document of Stances:

- MT: With respect to the stance that Engineering Counselling should strive for hours outside of regular class hours, according to survey people don’t want after hours but do want lunch time. We should consider looking into deprecating this stance and specifying lunch poll
- FJ: What sort of data do we have from that survey?
- AD: There’s a mixture. From the survey there were 160 responses, none favouring after evening hours. Also, Engineering Counselling used to offer evening hours which didn’t get filled
• MT: The way the stance is worded it says, “outside of school hours” which technically includes lunch and evenings. It’s not wrong, but it could be better.
• KS: My thought is it leaves us some freedom if things change, but Presidents should keep in mind to advocate for lunch
• SM: Stances are supposed to give us bargaining power and if the stance contains outside of school hours when some haven’t been successful, it loses its power
• C: Straw poll to have Abdullah look into that. Looking like mostly thumbs up.

5.0 Spending Update:
Michael Beauchemin has the floor
• Since last time, there are a couple new updates
• RidgidWare revenue this term is higher than predicted because of the very successful fire sale
• Most everything else is in line with what we expect
• For Bar Services, those are both negative because Mary invoiced Katie for a bar deficit last term and some miscellaneous payments. There are actual things and if you’re curious for details ask Mary
• This was done to help deal with a large surplus on B-Soc in a fiscally responsible way
• Again, everything for the Coffee Shop is in line with what’s expected

Document of Stances, Revisited:
• SM: Any follow up on adopting a new stance to replace the deprecated one on tuition hikes?
• AB: I wrote a new stance and it failed in council. I haven’t followed up yet because 1st council was hectic and the Board of Governors said international tuition hikes will now be capped at 5% year to year. I can move on with the stance, but Board of Governors have already taken action. I think moving this stance forward isn’t super relevant, but I can still bring it forward if wanted.
• C: Straw poll to bring forward again? Looks like no need to bring up again

6.0 Adjournment
Time: 7:14 pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion:</th>
<th>Adjournment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mover:</td>
<td>Abdullah Barakat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seconder:</td>
<td>Sarah Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result:</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion: Adjournment
Mover: Abdullah Barakat
Seconder: Sarah Martin
Result: Passed